PEER REVIEW PLAN		
Title:	OPPT workplan risk assessment for Trichloroethylene (CASRN 79-01-6)	
Purpose/Objective:	EPA anticipates issuing draft risk assessments for public review and comment as they are completed. At the conclusion of the review process, if an assessment of specific uses indicates significant risk, EPA will evaluate and pursue appropriate risk reduction actions, as warranted. If an assessment indicates no significant risk, EPA will conclude its current work on assessment of those specified targeted uses of that chemical. Over time, additional chemicals will be added to the work plan as more data are developed and more chemicals screened.	
Product Completion Date (Projected):	09/30/2013	
OMB Category:	Influential	
Peer Review Leader:	Stan Barone email: Barone.Stan@epa.gov	

External Peer Review Mechanism:	Ad Hoc Panel of Independent Experts
Peer Review Expected to Begin:	2nd Quarter, Fiscal Year 2013
	EPA's Fiscal Years run from October to September. Quarters for Fiscal Year 2013: 1st: October - December, 2012 2nd: January - March, 2013 3rd: April - June, 2013 4th: July - September, 2013

Was a deferral to peer review invoked?	No
Will an alternative peer review process be employed?	No
Number of Peer Reviewers	4 to 10

Primary Disciplines needed in the review:	Exposure Assessment, Risk Assessment-Human Health, Toxicology-Biochemical, Toxicology-Developmental, Toxicology-Immunotoxicology, Toxicology-Neurotoxicology, Toxicology-Pharmacokinetics/Dosimetry/Modeling, Toxicology-Reproductive, Toxicology-Teratology	
Who will select the reviewers?	Outside Organization: independent contractor will select peer reviewers based upon critical scientific expertise identified by Agency	
Will the public, including scientific or professiona asked to nominate peer reviewers?	No	
Will public nominations be allowed through the Peer Review Agenda?		No
Will there be opportunity for public comment on the product?		Yes
Describe How and When		nts will be solicited through FRN ocket submission of public comments.
Will the Agency provide significant and relevant public comments to the peer reviewers before they conduct their review?		Yes
Will the review be a panel, conducted in public?	Yes	
Will public comments be allowed at the panel re	Yes	